

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/757,411	01/15/2004	Soo-Min Byun		SEC.1096	3375
		7590 03/01/200 FRANCOS, & WHITT		[	EXAMINER	
ONE FREEDOM SQUARE					TALBOT, BRIAN K	
11951 FREEDOM DRIVE SUITE 1260 RESTON, VA 20190		OU		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·			•	1762	<del> </del>
	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE	
	31 D	AYS	03/01/2007		PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_				
•							
Office Action Summer:	10/757,411	BYUN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Brian K. Talbot	1762					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	1	•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/26/	07 (telephone).						
	_						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	_						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			•				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •						
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>	•	ed in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ''	ad.					
oce the attached detailed office action for a fist	or the certified copies not receive						
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

<u>C</u>

Application/Control Number: 10/757,411 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-6, drawn to pad coating apparatus, classified in class 118, subclass
 200+.

II. Claims 7-11, drawn to method of pad coating, classified in class 427, subclass429.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process other tha coating such as etching or cleaning.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Adam Volentine on 2/26/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/757,411

Art Unit: 1762

Page 3

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian K. Talbot whose telephone number is (571) 272-1428. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy H. Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/757,411

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Brian K Talbot Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762

Jal 2/20/07

Page 4

**BKT**